

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/630,813	KATSUTA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Rachel F. Gorr	1711	

All Participants:

 (1) Rachel F. Gorr.

 (2) K. Armstrong.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 February 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 13, 14 and 20

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed to cancel claim 14 for depending on a deleted claim. It was agreed to cancel claim 20 because it is a duplicate of claim 12. It was agreed to amend claims 1 and 13 because claim 13 appeared to be broader in scope than claim 1.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)